Southeast Counties (Cochise, Santa Cruz, Graham, Greenlee) TERM Report by CIP Program Training & Education Resource Model

Based on 2006-2008 occupational projections, Education & Training levels 1-11 (no restrictions)

Score = average of the five percentiles, using weights 3, 3, 1, 1, 1

| | | | Percentiles | | | | Labor Market Data | | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|--|-------------|---------------|------|------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rank | CIP Code | CIP Title | Score | Open- ings | Wage | ONET | Growth Rate | Turn- over Ratio | Open- ings | Hourly Wage | ONET Score | Growth Rate | Turn- over Ratio | Ed & Train Code |
| 1 | 52.02 | Business Administration, Management and Operations | 80.8 | 82 | 93 | 93 | 55 | 55 | 71 | 26.62 | 663 | 3.4 | 1.8 | 6.8 |
| 2 | 51.16 | Nursing | 78.8 | 80 | 80 | 86 | 68 | 77 | 66 | 22.52 | 656 | 3.9 | 2.4 | 6.2 |
| 3 | 52.08 | Finance and Financial Management Services | 64.6 | 89 | 39 | 14 | 98 | 89 | 91 | 14.99 | 502 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 10.2 |
| 4 | 51.09 | Allied Health Diagnostic, Intervention, and Treatment Professions | 64.1 | 36 | 75 | 73 | 80 | 91 | 17 | 21.18 | 620 | 4.5 | 2.9 | 6.7 |
| 5 | 48.05 | Precision Metal Working | 63.6 | 59 | 66 | 25 | 100 | 73 | 30 | 18.49 | 541 | 5.7 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| 6 | 13.12 | Teacher Education and Professional Development, Specific Levels and Methods | 62.4 | 86 | 52 | 68 | 30 | 48 | 89 | 16.77 | 607 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 5.3 |
| 7 | 30.16 | Accounting and Computer Science (NEW) | 62.1 | 32 | 86 | 57 | 64 | 84 | 15 | 24.25 | 580 | 3.7 | 2.4 | 5.3 |
| 8 | 43.01 | Criminal Justice and Corrections | 61.6 | 91 | 55 | 91 | 20 | 7 | 96 | 17.09 | 662 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 9.7 |
| 9 | 52.10 | Human Resources Management and Services | 61.1 | 27 | 82 | 48 | 82 | 93 | 15 | 23.10 | 574 | 4.5 | 3.1 | 4.9 |
| 10 | 51.06 | Dental Support Services and Allied Professions | 60.1 | 34 | 68 | 64 | 84 | 86 | 16 | 19.01 | 595 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 9.0 |
| 11 | 52.17 | Insurance (NEW) | 59.8 | 27 | 91 | 39 | 70 | 75 | 15 | 26.26 | 563 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 7.8 |
| 12 | 46.02 | Carpenters | 58.3 | 68 | 57 | 89 | 16 | 45 | 40 | 17.16 | 661 | 2.4 | 1.6 | 8.9 |
| 13 | 52.01 | Business/Commerce, General | 56.6 | 16 | 95 | 75 | 39 | 61 | 14 | 35.49 | 628 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 4.9 |
| 14 | 52.19 | Specialized Sales, Merchandising, and Marketing Operations (NEW) | 55.8 | 45 | 73 | 45 | 34 | 68 | 20 | 20.19 | 570 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 8.5 |
| 15 | 47.06 | Vehicle Maintenance and Repair Technologies | 54.5 | 61 | 45 | 80 | 57 | 34 | 33 | 16.24 | 645 | 3.6 | 1.5 | 7.5 |
| 16 | 52.07 | Entrepreneurial and Small Business Operations | 54.3 | 0 | 100 | 95 | 36 | 57 | 10 | 39.86 | 666 | 3.0 | 1.8 | 4.0 |
| 17 | 44.04 | Public Administration | 54.0 | 14 | 98 | 77 | 23 | 52 | 14 | 36.66 | 644 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 4.4 |
| 18 | 52.16 | Taxation | 52.9 | 8 | 89 | 55 | 61 | 70 | 12 | 24.48 | 578 | 3.6 | 2.0 | 5.0 |
| 19 | 46.05 | Plumbing and Related Water Supply Services | 52.3 | 20 | 59 | 100 | 66 | 66 | 14 | 17.21 | 704 | 3.8 | 1.9 | 8.8 |
| 20 | 13.13 | Teacher Education and Professional Development, Specific Subject Areas | 52.0 | 57 | 61 | 84 | 18 | 11 | 28 | 17.25 | 650 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 4.9 |

| | | | Percentiles | | | | | Labor Market Data | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|--|-------------|---------------|------|------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rank | CIP Code | CIP Title | Score | Open- ings | Wage | ONET | Growth Rate | Turn- over Ratio | Open- ings | Hourly Wage | ONET Score | Growth Rate | Turn- over Ratio | Ed & Train Code |
| 21 | 51.07 | Health and Medical Administrative Services | 50.5 | 75 | 36 | 20 | 41 | 59 | 63 | 14.84 | 534 | 3.1 | 1.8 | 8.7 |
| 22 | 46.04 | Building/Construction Finishing, Management, and Inspection | 49.0 | 70 | 34 | 70 | 25 | 32 | 56 | 14.33 | 609 | 2.6 | 1.5 | 9.8 |
| 23 | 15.08 | Mechanical Engineering Related Technologies/Technicians | 48.5 | 39 | 41 | 98 | 59 | 41 | 18 | 15.94 | 686 | 3.6 | 1.6 | 6.9 |
| 23 | 47.03 | Heavy/Industrial Equipment Maintenance Technologies | 48.5 | 27 | 50 | 82 | 86 | 36 | 15 | 16.66 | 646 | 4.6 | 1.6 | 11.0 |
| 23 | 51.08 | Allied Health and Medical Assisting Services | 48.5 | 43 | 27 | 36 | 91 | 98 | 20 | 12.67 | 563 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 8.8 |
| 26 | 49.02 | Ground Transportation | 46.5 | 77 | 23 | 59 | 9 | 50 | 63 | 11.41 | 588 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 10.6 |
| 26 | 51.26 | Health Aides/Attendants/Orderlies | 46.5 | 52 | 9 | 41 | 93 | 100 | 24 | 9.20 | 567 | 5.0 | 4.4 | 9.0 |
| 28 | 01.01 | Agricultural Business and Management | 45.7 | 55 | 43 | 34 | 45 | 39 | 27 | 16.23 | 556 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 7.8 |
| 29 | 13.10 | Special Education and Teaching | 45.2 | 18 | 64 | 66 | 52 | 43 | 14 | 18.11 | 601 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 5.0 |
| 30 | 01.06 | Applied Horticulture/Horticultural Business Services | 44.9 | 93 | 16 | 11 | 50 | 16 | 135 | 10.26 | 497 | 3.3 | 1.2 | 10.8 |
| 31 | 52.99 | Business, Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services, Other | 44.2 | 23 | 84 | 52 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 23.69 | 577 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 8.9 |
| 32 | 52.15 | Real Estate | 43.9 | 50 | 70 | 32 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 19.81 | 555 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 6.8 |
| 33 | 19.02 | Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences Business Services | 43.7 | 2 | 77 | 43 | 48 | 64 | 11 | 21.70 | 567 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 6.4 |
| 34 | 52.03 | Accounting and Related Services | 43.2 | 73 | 48 | 5 | 5 | 18 | 62 | 16.62 | 494 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 8.7 |
| 35 | 12.05 | Culinary Arts and Related Services | 42.9 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 73 | 5 | 508 | 7.45 | 482 | 4.2 | 0.9 | 10.7 |
| 36 | 52.04 | Business Operations Support and Assistant Services | 42.7 | 95 | 20 | 7 | 7 | 23 | 240 | 11.14 | 494 | 2.2 | 1.3 | 10.6 |
| 37 | 52.18 | General Sales, Merchandising and Related Marketing Operations (NEW) | 42.4 | 98 | 14 | 16 | 32 | 0 | 412 | 9.60 | 502 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 10.7 |
| 38 | 46.99 | Construction Trades, Other | 40.9 | 66 | 30 | 50 | 2 | 30 | 37 | 13.18 | 574 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 9.8 |
| 39 | 01.03 | Agricultural Production Operations | 39.6 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 27 | 73 | 6.75 | 463 | 4.4 | 1.4 | 10.9 |
| 40 | 51.15 | Mental and Social Health Services and Allied Professions | 37.9 | 5 | 25 | 61 | 95 | 95 | 11 | 11.88 | 593 | 5.1 | 3.3 | 8.6 |
| 41 | 15.07 | Quality Control and Safety Technologies/Technicians | 35.0 | 8 | 32 | 27 | 89 | 80 | 12 | 13.86 | 545 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 9.3 |
| 42 | 13.15 | Teaching Assistants/Aides | 28.3 | 64 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 20 | 35 | 8.82 | 495 | 2.3 | 1.3 | 11.0 |
| 43 | 19.05 | Foods, Nutrition, and Related Services | 28.0 | 41 | 18 | 23 | 43 | 9 | 18 | 10.44 | 534 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 8.7 |
| 44 | 12.04 | Cosmetology and Related Personal Grooming Services | 27.0 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 75 | 82 | 12 | 9.31 | 520 | 4.4 | 2.4 | 7.0 |

| | | | Percentiles | | | | | Labor Market Data | | | | | | |
|------|-------------|---|-------------|---------------|------|------|----------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rank | CIP Code | CIP Title | Score | Open- ings | Wage | ONET | Growth Rate | Turn- over Ratio | Open- ings | Hourly Wage | ONET Score | Growth Rate | Turn- over Ratio | Ed & Train Code |
| 45 | 19.07 | Human Development, Family Studies, and Related Services | 26.5 | 48 | 5 | 30 | 27 | 25 | 21 | 8.41 | 550 | 2.6 | 1.4 | 10.2 |

| | Explanations for Each Column of the TERM Report | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Column | Explanation | | | | | | | | | |
| А | Rank | This is the rank of the CIP (Classification of Instructional Program) by percentile score as shown in column D. Tie scores get tie ranks. Sometimes scores appear to be a tie, but if carried out to more decimal places, are not. Such CIPs are not assigned tie ranks. | | | | | | | | | |
| В | CIP Code | CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) code as assigned by the U.S. Department of Education. See Sources note below for more details. | | | | | | | | | |
| С | CIP Title | The title of the training program, as assigned by the U.S. Department of Education Classification of Instructional Programs. | | | | | | | | | |
| D | Score | The score is a weighted average of columns E through I. The weights used are 3, 3, 1, 1, 1, respectively. See Calculation Method note below for more details. | | | | | | | | | |
| E-I | Percentiles | These 5 columns are the percentile scores of the data in columns J through N. Percentiles scores are used to compute different types of data in the model. For example, wages in dollars and growth in percentages. Tie scores produce tie percentiles. | | | | | | | | | |
| J | Openings | The projected number of openings expected per year for the occupations within this CIP. If an occupation is contained in more than one CIP, its openings are distributed evenly among those CIPs. Projected openings based on revised 2006-2008 occupation projections. | | | | | | | | | |
| K | Hourly Wage | The average of the wages of the occupations contained in this CIP, weighted by the number of openings in each occupation. Wages based on 2006 Occupational Employment Statistics (OES) survey. | | | | | | | | | |
| L | O*NET Score | O*NET is an acronym for the Occupational Information Network (http://www.onetcenter.org/). This data is a measure of the skills, knowledge, and abilities required for the occupations within this CIP, weighted by the number of openings in each occupation. The numerical score for each comparative occupational descriptor in the O*NET Knowledge, Skills, and Abilities data files were summed for each occupation. See Sources note below for more information. | | | | | | | | | |
| M | Growth Rate | The two-year projected rate of growth in employment of the occupations in this CIP, weighted by the number of openings in each occupation. This number could be negative but usually is not. Occupational growth rate is from the revised 2006-2008 Occupational Projections produced by the AzDES Research Administration. | | | | | | | | | |
| N | Turnover Ratio | This is the projected number of annual openings due to growth divided by the projected number of annual openings due to replacement (like retirements, quits, promotions, etc.). Higher ratios indicate lower turnover. Based on the revised 2006-2008 occupational projections data. | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | BLS Education & Training Code | The job training and educational levels as assigned by the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS). See detailed descriptions of the BLS codes on page two of these notes. Note that higher numbers indicate lower amounts of education or training time. | | | | | | | | | |

| Sources 1 Openings and wage data are produced by the Arizona Department of Commerce, Research Administration, in coopera Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. Wages are from the 2006 OES survey, and openings are from the 200 occupational employment projections. 2 For the O*NET score, the O*NET database, www.onetcenter.org/database.html, version 11.0, was used. 3 CIP / SOC crosswalk source: National Crosswalk Service Center http://www.xwalkcenter.org/xwxwalk.html#SOCCIP 4 The education levels are from the Bureau of Labor Statistics website at ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/ep/optdc Calculation method 1 Hourly wages were calculated by dividing annual wages by 2080. 2 O*NET scores were calculated by occupation using the SOC (Standard Occupational Code). The scores for each 6-dig calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. 3 In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-were example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 openings are each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's inverse the following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
|---|--------------------|
| 2 For the O*NET score, the O*NET database, www.onetcenter.org/database.html, version 11.0, was used. 3 CIP / SOC crosswalk source: National Crosswalk Service Center http://www.xwalkcenter.org/xwxwalk.html#SOCCIP 4 The education levels are from the Bureau of Labor Statistics website at ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/ep/optdc Calculation method 1 Hourly wages were calculated by dividing annual wages by 2080. 2 O*NET scores were calculated by occupation using the SOC (Standard Occupational Code). The scores for each 6-dig calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. 3 In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by surfor each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's inverse of the following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| 3 CIP / SOC crosswalk source: National Crosswalk Service Center http://www.xwalkcenter.org/xwxwalk.html#SOCCIP 4 The education levels are from the Bureau of Labor Statistics website at ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/ep/optdc Calculation method 1 Hourly wages were calculated by dividing annual wages by 2080. 2 O*NET scores were calculated by occupation using the SOC (Standard Occupational Code). The scores for each 6-dig calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. 3 In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by surfor each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's invertible of the following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| The education levels are from the Bureau of Labor Statistics website at ftp://ftp.bls.gov/pub/special.requests/ep/optdc Calculation method Hourly wages were calculated by dividing annual wages by 2080. O*NET scores were calculated by occupation using the SOC (Standard Occupational Code). The scores for each 6-dig calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-were the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by surfor each occupation within the CIP. Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's involved. The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| Calculation method 1 Hourly wages were calculated by dividing annual wages by 2080. 2 O*NET scores were calculated by occupation using the SOC (Standard Occupational Code). The scores for each 6-dig calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. 3 In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by surfor each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's involved. 6 The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| 1 Hourly wages were calculated by dividing annual wages by 2080. 2 O*NET scores were calculated by occupation using the SOC (Standard Occupational Code). The scores for each 6-dig calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. 3 In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by sur for each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's invertible of the following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | data/ |
| 1 Hourly wages were calculated by dividing annual wages by 2080. 2 O*NET scores were calculated by occupation using the SOC (Standard Occupational Code). The scores for each 6-dig calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. 3 In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by sur for each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's invertible of the following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| calculated by averaging the scores for the 8-digit O*NET occupations that comprise each 6-digit SOC. In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by surfor each occupation within the CIP. Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's involved. The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| In this iteration of the TERM, there were no restrictions on the BLS Training and Educational code. Note that the lower educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by surfor each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's involved. 6 The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | it SOC were |
| educational time requirement, the higher the code numbers (see below). 4 Statistics by CIP (Classification of Instructional Programs) (except openings) were calculated by taking an openings-we the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by sur for each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's involved. 6 The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| the occupations in the CIP. For occupations appearing in more than one CIP, openings were evenly distributed among example, if an occupation had 30 openings and was found in 3 CIPs, that occupation would be listed as having 10 open 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by surfor each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's involved. 6 The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | r the training and |
| 3 CIPs. After the openings were distributed in this manner, the number of openings in each CIP was calculated by sur for each occupation within the CIP. 5 Percentiles were calculated for each statistic shown. In case of a tie, the same percentile was assigned to all CIP's inv 6 The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | g the CIPs. For |
| 6 The following formula determined the score for each CIP: (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | |
| (3 x openings percentile + 3 x wage percentile + ONET percentile + growth percentile + ratio percentile) / 9 BLS Education Codes | olved in the tie. |
| BLS Education Codes | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 First professional degree | |
| 2 Doctoral degree | |
| 3 Master's degree | |
| 4 Bachelor's or higher degree, plus work experience | |
| 5 Bachelor's degree | |
| 6 Associate degree | |
| 7 Post-secondary vocational training | |
| 8 Work experience in related occupation | |
| 9 Long-term on-the-job training | |
| 10 Moderate-term on-the-job training | |
| 11 Short-term on-the-job training | |
| Questions and Comments | |
| Direct questions or comments to Rick Van Sickle, 602-542-6481, or John Graeflin, 602-542-6492, at Research Adminis Department of Commerce. | tration, Arizona |